UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,486	09/29/2005	Marcos Requena Penat	932.1328	4294	
21831 7590 11/29/2007 WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP 250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GANESAN, SUNDHARA M		
NEW YORK, P	NY 10177		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3764		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/29/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@WOLFBLOCK.COM

	•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/551,486	PENAT ET AL.	PENAT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit	1		
		Sundhara M. Ganesa				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- ted reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.136(a). In no event, however, n iod will apply and will expire SIX (6 atute, cause the application to beco	UNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 September 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-18 is/are pending in the	e application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration	1.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-18</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requiremen	t.			
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)  objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in at	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	<del>-</del> · · · · ·	, ,		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	iched Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore )□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	·		Stage		
*	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	oce the attached detailed office action for a	nat of the certified copies	That received.			
Attachme	• •	]				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ce of Informal Patent Application			

10/551,486 Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1,3-7,9-18

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuang (US Pat. 5,765,921) in view of Wang (US Pat. 5,499,417). Chuang describes the same invention as claimed, including: a double sided support (51) with one upper side and one lower side, one of which is rigid and the other of which includes an inflatable flexible element (4), resting means (11,12) for the support that allows users to carry out aerobic (in this case, stepping) and cardio exercises and to work on their physical condition in general using the rigid side (the user steps on this portion). wherein the inflatable flexible element has a dished upper side on which the user stands (see Fig. 1) to carry out balance, proprioception and coordination exercises and wherein the resting means are feet (11,12) that rotate about he support and about a horizontal axis to allow the user to alternate between the upper side and the lower side to work on both the areas of aerobic and cardio and the areas of balance, proprioception and coordination (the Chuang device is inherently capable of being used in an up-side-down configuration for balancing).

Chuang does not show the inflatable flexible element has a rectangular base.

Art Unit: 3764

Wang teaches an inflatable flexible element (2) having a rectangular base (rectangular portion generally indicated at 18).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include the rectangular base of Wang on the device of Chuang. Doing so would provide a stable base for the inflatable member so that the device only rotates on the upper, curved portion of the inflatable member and not the base, which serves as a limit on the angle the platform can deflect, as shown by Wang in Fig. 1. One skilled in the art would recognize that limiting the angle the platform can deflect would provide the benefit of preventing the platform of Chuang from hitting the resting means (11, 12), thereby avoiding unnecessary wear and tear on the device. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to combine Wang and Chuang to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 3, Chuang shows the inflatable flexible element is detachable (Fig. 1 shows the detached state) and is joined to the support by joining means (3).

Regarding claim 4, the rigid side comprises a contact platform (521) joined to the support by joining means.

Regarding claim 5, the joining means (3) comprises a housing (see aperture in platform, Fig. 1) which accommodates the inflatable flexible element (4).

Regarding claim 6, the housing comprises means (curved inner surfaces) to set the position of the inflatable flexible element.

Application/Control Number:

10/551,486 Art Unit: 3764

Regarding claim 7, the inflatable flexible element comprises means (a curved surface and a through-bore locking the flexible element to joining means 3) to set its position in the housing.

Regarding claim 9, the inflatable flexible element (4) comprises the area of a base that is the thickest (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 10, Wang shows the inflatable flexible element as comprising supports (apertures 18 for example) on its base.

Regarding claim 11, Wang shows the inflatable flexible element comprises a platform (4) joined to the base.

Regarding claim 12, Chuang shows the support comprises gaps (23) to partially scramble the feet.

Regarding claim 13, Wang shows the support as comprising means to fix elastic bands for exercises entailing toning up muscles, body building, and rehabilitation (elastic bands can be hooked to members (21, 22, 3, or wrapped around the platform 51,52).

Regarding claim 14, the contact platform is formed out of plastic. Since it is used for aerobic stepping and balancing exercises, it is capable of absorbing and restoring energy, and thus it is considered to be a point-elastic material.

Regarding claim 15, the device comprises means (Fig. 5A-B) to regulate its height.

Regarding claim 16, the support and the feet comprise means (95) to hold and handle the device.

Application/Control Number:

10/551,486 Art Unit: 3764

Regarding claim 17, the inflatable flexible element comprises means (41) to hold and handle the device.

Regarding claim 18, Wang shows the inflatable flexible element comprises at least one valve (not shown, but present due to the inflatable ball and tube, since the air would leak out of the flexible element unless a stop valve is present to prevent the flow of air out of the device).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding the arguments presented with respect to the Chuang device, applicant argues that the bases of Chuang can not rotate around the shaft. This is possible by a user grasping the pedals, and flipping the entire device up-side-down. The pedals do not rotate about the shaft (3), but instead rotate about a horizontal axis located approximately at shaft (3).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chuang and Wang were cited in the previous action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

10/551,486

Art Unit: 3764

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sundhara M. Ganesan whose telephone number is 571-272-3340. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on (571) 272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/551,486 Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S.G. 11/19/2007

LOAN H. THANH